

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/669,847	OKANO, YOICHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Alexander Jamal.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jim Howard.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2005
**Time:** 12pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner sent a proposed amendment to claim 1 (with limitation to be applied to all independent claims) via email to Mr. Howard. Mr. Howard indicated he would check with applicant to see if the claim was acceptable. No further communication was received from Mr. Howard..